

February 1, 2021

# **Global Energy Best Ideas**

In January, the RBC Global Energy Best Ideas List was up 5.0% compared to the iShares S&P Global Energy Sector ETF (IXC) up 2.9%. Since its inception in February 2013, the RBC Global Energy Best Ideas List is up 2.4% compared to the S&P Global Energy Sector ETF down 25.3%.

February List Additions: SM February List Removals: BKR

Total Return	January	YTD	Since 2/1/13
iShares S&P Global Energy (IXC)	2.9%	2.9%	-25.3%
RBC Global Energy Best Ideas	5.0%	5.0%	2 4%

	RBC GLOBAL ENERGY BEST IDEAS LIST							
Analyst	Integrated Oils	Ticker	Rating <sup>1</sup>	Mkt Cap (mn)	Date Added	Px	Current Px	Px Tgt
Borkhataria	Royal Dutch Shell	RDSB-LON	OP	€ 101,108	7/1/20	1,224p	1,272p	2,000p
	Canadian Oil & Gas							
Pardy	Canadian Natural Resources	CNQ-CA	OP	C\$34,959	9/1/15	C\$29.65	C\$28.89	C\$37.00
Pardy	Suncor Energy	SU-CA	OP	C\$33,523	1/8/19	C\$39.52	C\$21.39	C\$28.00
Harvey	Tourmaline Oil	TOU-CA	OP	C\$5,495	1/1/20	C\$15.08	C\$18.22	C\$25.00
Davis	Freehold Royalties	FRU-CA	OP	C\$667	7/1/20	C\$3.52	C\$5.48	C\$8.00
	US E&P							
Hanold	ConocoPhillips	COP-US	OP	\$55,688	12/1/20	\$39.56	\$40.03	\$58.00
Hanold	EQT Corporation	EQT-US	OP	\$4,531	12/1/20	\$14.88	\$16.31	\$22.00
Hanold	SM Energy	SM-US	OP	\$969	2/1/21	\$8.39	\$8.39	\$12.00
	Midstream							
Scotto	Cheniere Energy Inc	LNG-US	OP	\$15,926	5/1/20	\$46.69	\$63.37	\$76.00
Scotto	Enviva Partners LP	EVA-US	OP	\$1,954	8/1/20	\$38.21	\$49.82	\$51.00
Schultz	Enterprise Products Partners L.P.	EPD-US	OP	\$44,793	1/8/21	\$21.31	\$20.23	\$26.00
Kwan	Pembina Pipeline Corp.	PPL-CA	OP	C\$18,643	1/8/21	C\$34.22	C\$33.66	C\$40.00
Schultz	Rattler Midstream LP	RTLR-US	OP	\$437	12/1/20	\$8.29	\$9.56	\$12.00
	International E&P							
Stanton	Aker BP	AKERBP-OSL	OP	NOK 76,992	5/1/20	NOK 170	NOK 214	NOK 240
Stanton	Parex Resources	PXT-CA	OP	\$2,697	2/1/20	C\$20.95	C\$19.35	C\$26.00
	Global Oil Services							
Mackey	Enerflex Ltd.	EFX-CA	OP	\$621	10/1/20	C\$4.62	C\$6.58	C\$10.00
Mackey	Shawcor Ltd.	SCL-CA	OP	\$296	10/1/20	C\$2.09	C\$4.04	C\$6.00
	Refiners							
Schultz	Valero Energy Corporation	VLO-US	OP	\$23,786	4/1/20	\$45.36	\$56.43	\$67.00
	Utilities and Infrastructure							
Tucker	CenterPoint Energy	CNP-US	OP	\$11,757	1/8/21	\$20.88	\$21.09	\$26.00
	Australian E&P							
Ramsay	Santos Limited	STO-AU	OP	A\$13,964	6/1/19	A\$6.74	A\$6.51	A\$7.50

This report is priced as of market close ET on January 29, 2021. Indicated January returns are priced as of this date.

1-OP = Outperform, R = Restricted. 2-Indicates Speculative Risk. 3-Opening price given is the closing price of the trading day prior to which the stock was added. 4-Return assumes all dividends and distributions are reinvested.



# This Month's Additions and Removals from Energy Best Ideas List

#### Exhibit 1: This Month's Additions

# SM Energy (SM) Scott Hanold, Analyst (512) 708-6354 scott.hanold@rbccm.com

- Top tier returns in the core Permian development. We think investor sentiment has made a positive pivot on the RockStar asset. Well productivity and costs across SM's Permian assets continue to exceed investor expectations. "RockStar" performance is among the highest in the basin and is 15+% above our 1.0 MMboe typecurve. Permian well costs are among industry lows and when coupled with solid well productivity, economic returns continue to be strong. We think there is a visible 5-10 years of inventory economic at \$35-45/bbl.
- Visibility toward an improving balance sheet. Leverage is too high right now, in our view, but the development strategy should drive that down to a sub-2.0x ratio by early 2022. In 2021, FCF generation should occur at oil prices above \$45/bbl and accelerate in 2022. SM benefits from working off a 30 well DUC backlog but also its higher margin assets. We estimate \$550 million of FCF through 2022 that can manage repayment of its upcoming notes and bank debt, totaling \$475 million.
- South TX Austin Chalk provides a catalyst. The Austin Chalk could be a game changer, albeit still early stages, and has a good amount of technical data and several successful wells to support economic development starting in 2021. Regional margins improve with the recent new condensate pricing marketing contract and transportation cost reductions in 2021 and 2023. We think the Austin Chalk could play out similar to SM's move into the Howard County Permian where investors were highly skeptical but management was able to more than prove out the value.

#### Exhibit 2: This Month's Removals

#### **Baker Hughes Company (BKR)**

 We are removing Baker Hughes from the Energy Best Ideas List due to reallocation of analyst resources.



# **Investment Highlights**

Below, we provide a summary of our analysts' views on each Best Idea.

#### Aker BP (AKERBP)

Al Stanton, Analyst (+44) 131-222-3638 al.stanton@rbccm.com

- Robust: Having refinanced in September issuing \$1.25bn of five to ten-year bonds to pay down more expensive 6%, 2022 bonds and its RCF, and as a cash tax rebate receiver, Aker BP has significant financial headroom, and its extensive portfolio of reserves and resources in Norway offers numerous opportunities to accelerate activities, especially as the oil price ticks higher.
- Dividend: The outlook for future cash flows has recovered significantly since management halted its dividend policy in early May, and Aker BP is increasing output, lowering costs and benefiting from June's tax -break. We expect management to announce a dividend increase at its 4<sup>th</sup> February Capital Markets Day.
- **Future:** Looking further ahead, Aker BP is a low-cost, low-emission, producer that maximises the potential of existing developments; therefore the business should withstand ESG-linked pressures.

#### **Canadian Natural Resources (CNQ)**

Greg Pardy, Head of Global Energy Research (416) 842-7848 greg.pardy@rbccm.com

- Globally Distinguished. Canadian Natural Resources' management committee structure and shareholder alignment are unique factors which distinguish the company globally. CNQ's long-life, low-decline portfolio—anchored by moderate sustaining capital— affords the company with superior free cash flow generative power.
- Mining Segment—Powerful FCF Generator. CNQ's oil sands mining segment—which encompasses both its Horizon (100% wi) and AOSP (70% wi) operations—is a driving force behind its cash flow and free cash flow generation. In our eyes, it is also one of the reasons that makes CNQ comparable to the global majors. Anchored by an extensive 2P RLI of just over 45 years, CNQ's oil sands mining operations yield premier upgraded products with no decline and about \$1 billion of annual sustaining capital.
- **Strong Alignment.** Collectively, management owns about 2.3% of CNQ, which drives strong alignment with shareholder interests.
- ESG—Lots Of Progress. CNQ has set a long-term aspirational target of net zero oil sands emissions over time. During the interim, the company is targeting a 25% reduction in oil sands GHG emissions intensity by 2025. Thus far, strong progress has been made at Horizon where CNQ has reduced its emissions intensity by 37% since 2012.



### CenterPoint Energy (CNP) Shelby Tucker, Analyst (212) 428-6462 shelby.tucker@rbccm.com

- Possible inflection point. The announced sale of a pair of gas LDCs and a potential
  decision on ENBL, CNP's midstream investment, may mark a turning point for CNP
  after a year-long struggle involving management changes and a disappointing rate
  case outcomes in Texas. Execution is key. An attractive multiple for the sale of
  these assets may generate additional cash flow to accelerate utility investments.
- Above-average utility growth. CNP has laid out plans for 10% CAGR rate base growth over the next 5 years, amounting to total capex of \$16B with additional ~\$1B upside. We view these projects to have low risk as over third-quarters of the investments is expected to be recovered through rate mechanisms. We expect rate base growth to drive ~6-8% EPS CAGR and 5% dividend CAGR. Regulatory lag is a work in progress but we think improving cash flows will help tighten the gap.
- Financial discipline. CNP has laid out annual O&M reduction targets of 1-2% though execution remains to be seen. We believe balance sheet and financing has become clearer after the last round of private placement and management will focus on paying down excess debt with utility cash flows.
- Improving regulated multiple. The new management team has been crystal clear in optimizing CNP as a highly regulated utility. Over time, we believe CNP will shift towards 90% regulated through heavy rate base investments and potentially capture premium utility valuations. At 14.7x 2022 P/E relative to peer average of 18.0x, we think CNP offers an attractive entry point.

#### Cheniere Inc (LNG) Elvira Scotto, Analyst (212) 905-5957 elvira.scotto@rbccm.com

- Highly contracted cash flow with strong counterparties. Cheniere has long-term take-or-pay contracts on 85% of its nine-train portfolio capacity (seven trains now operational), and intends to contract 90%. All of Cheniere's Sale and Purchase Agreement customers are investment grade rated or have investment grade credit metrics. Importantly, utilities or state-owned utilities/oil and gas companies represent 68% of Cheniere's contracted capacity.
- Liquefaction fees represent most of Cheniere's EBITDA. Cheniere's customers have the contractual right to cancel cargoes but must still pay fixed liquefaction fees. In our 2023 run-rate scenario, on a consolidated basis, liquefaction fees represent ~90% of Cheniere's total EBITDA while lift represents ~5% and marketing ~5%. In 2021E, liquefaction fees represent ~87%, which we believe provides an EBITDA floor.
- Long term FCF and capital return story. We believe long-term take-or-pay
  contracts with high credit quality counterparties provide cash flow visibility.
  Cheniere also stands to benefit from LNG demand growth given its asset footprint.
  Finally, we believe Cheniere is poised to returns significant cash to shareholders
  via dividends and share buybacks, which we do not believe is fully reflected in
  Cheniere's stock price.



# ConocoPhillips (COP) Scott Hanold, Analyst (512) 708-6354 scott.hanold@rbccm.com

- Compelling value proposition. COP offers a returns-focused value proposition, a strong balance sheet, and peer-leading distributions. The company's low breakeven point provides a competitive advantage and allows it to fund its production maintenance capital and dividends at below \$40/bbl (WTI) for around \$5 billion of capital spend. Clear priorities provide a defined investment proposition and demonstrate the commitment to returning capital back to shareholders. COP's priorities are: (1) sustain production and pay its dividend, (2) annual dividend growth, (3) A-rated balance sheet, (4) 30+% CFO total shareholder payout, and (5) disciplined investment for CFO expansion.
- Deep depth of inventory. The company has a deep inventory of highly economic projects that span both short through long cycles opportunities globally. In total there is an estimated 23 Bboe of resource potential that has an average below \$30/ bbl. We think this is one of the preeminent portfolios and provides several decades of inventory at the current production pace. This reduces the need for ongoing exploration spend and consolidation although both can occur if it is accretive to COP's value proposition.
- Substantial FCF yield. Our detailed model through 2025 shows a strong cash generation profile with a FCF yield of 9%, this includes a dividend yield of 5-6% and a potential buyback amounting to a 3-4% return (\$45-50/bbl-WTI forecast). Our model has COP organically growing oil production 2-3%/ year while maintaining leverage below 1.0x with a \$4+ billion cash balance.
- CXO acquisition offering tangible synergies and scale. The transaction adds scale
  to its Permian position that enhances its outlook with greater FCF generation and
  greater asset diversity. Over the next couple of years (into 2022), the company
  should be able to capture at least \$0.5 billion in annually savings. This includes
  both cash cost and capital spending improvements. There is more upside through
  margin improvement with marketing arrangements, supply chain scale, and shared
  learnings.

# Enerflex Ltd. (EFX) Keith Mackey, Analyst (403) 299-6958 keith.mackey@rbccm.com

- Asset ownership improves EBITDA generation through the cycle. We believe
  Enerflex is positioned to deliver stronger ROACE and free cash flow in low
  commodity prices relative to Canadian Oilfield Services peers. Over the last few
  years, the company has focused on asset ownership, namely Contract
  Compression and BOOM (Build, Own, Operate, Maintain) projects, which carry
  higher margins than its legacy Engineered Systems business. We expect its
  Contract Compression and After Market Services business lines to generate
  recurring EBITDA to support its Engineered Systems business through the cycle.
- Strong liquidity and low financial leverage support the stock through the
  downturn. We believe the company's financial flexibility combined with low nearterm maintenance capital requirements provide financial flexibility as the cycle
  turns. We forecast 2020 and 2021 net debt/EBITDA of 1.7x (vs. its 3.0x covenant).
  At 3Q20, Enerflex had strong cash and credit liquidity of approximately \$667
  million.
- Changing revenue mix brings potential valuation expansion. We believe Enerflex's current valuation does not reflect its improving cash flow stability driven by its growing Rentals and Service business lines, in our view. Enerflex continues to trade at a discount to compression peers based on next-year's EBITDA. Said another way, applying peer average multiples to Enerflex's recurring business lines, we believe the stock is discounting approximately nil value to its Engineered Systems business line.



#### **Enterprise Products Partners (EPD)**

TJ Schultz, Analyst (512)-708-6385 tj.schultz@rbccm.com

- FCF ramp with diverse assets. EPD guided lower growth capex levels in 2021/2022 (to \$1.6B/\$800mm). This should increase FCF growth and open up opportunities for EPD to support its units through buybacks, de-leveraging, or distribution increases. EPD currently has a \$2B buyback plan in place, and we expect units to be repurchased opportunistically. EPD also has a diverse and expansive asset footprint. This asset footprint with higher liquids exposure is well-positioned to benefit amidst a 2021 oil and gas demand recovery.
- Growth capital focus on petchem. EPD has ~\$4B of growth projects under construction of which ~\$2.5B is allocated to petchem projects. We highlight the PDH2 facility and ethylene system expansion, which should both help meet increasing petchem product demand. We also like petchem exposure as the space has growing export demand, fewer environmental risks, and integrates well with EPD's NGLs business. Longer term, we believe more growth capital will be allocated towards repurposing and integrating downstream assets as those projects provide quality returns for lower amounts of capital.
- Attractive financial position. Given EPD's current cash flow profile and asset base, we think EPD provides both offensive and defensive characteristics for investors. We believe EPD can provide 1-2% distribution growth while also opportunistically buying back units. We also estimate EPD will maintain leverage at ~3.5x. With leverage below 4.0x, a distribution coverage of >1.5x, and a current yield of 8-9%, we believe EPD is an attractive investment and should be a core MLP holding.

# Enviva Partners, L.P. (EVA) Elvira Scotto, Analyst (212) 905-5957 elvira.scotto@rbccm.com

- Strong set-up into 2021: We believe EVA can generate 29% Y/Y growth in 2021 (\$261MM in total) before additional dropdowns, driven by ~\$16MM from the Northampton/Southampton expansions, ~\$15MM from organic growth, ~ \$3MM incremental from Hamlet achieving run-rate capacity and ~\$21MM incremental contributions from a full year of Greenwood and Georgia Biomass/Waycross acquisitions.
- Highly visible cash flow growth: EVA targets 7-10% organic growth from: (1) price escalators; (2) productivity improvements; (3) cost cuts/ purchasing efficiencies. EVA also expects two \$200MM dropdowns in 2020, which EVA also believes to be a good pace beyond 2020. Based on total contracted revenue backlog of ~\$19BN (including its sponsor), EVA plans to more than double its 2019 EBITDA in the next couple of years.
- In for a pellet, in for a pound: Coal-fired power plants can replace 5-10% of their raw-feed with pellets with only investments in storage to keep wood pellets dry, which costs a few dollars per KW, by EVA's estimates. For reference, the EIA estimates capital costs to build a coal plant with SO2 and NOx controls to be \$3,500-\$3,800/KW. For full scale wood pellet conversion, EVA estimates a cost of \$500/KW.



# **EQT Corporation (EQT)**

Scott Hanold, Analyst (512) 708-6354 scott.hanold@rbccm.com

- Right place right time. EQT's natural gas focused portfolio is well positioned to benefit from a bullish macro backdrop with LNG demand moving above Bcf/d and weak oil fundamentals capping the associated gas growth outlook. We think the company has some of the most economic natural gas assets in North America and benefits from low royalty rates, low operating costs, and premium geology.
- Robust FCF Outlook. The FCF outlook improves from continued efforts to reduce debt, improve D&C costs, and manage expenses. The company maintains a robust hedge position that provides visibility for FCF generation which we estimate up over 150% in 2021 on our \$2.75/Mcf outlook. Opportunities exist to improve this further by leveraging an existing FT portfolio to increase realizations and improve operating expenses.
- Debt reduction remains the priority. Significant progress is being made to improve leverage toward its target of less than 2x. Based on our \$2.75/Mcf outlook for 2021 we see a path for leverage reduction to 1.9x by year-end 2021 but think this can be improved with a sale of an equity stake in ETRN, select divestiture opportunities.
- Recent acquisition highly accretive. EQT has been a vocal supporter of consolidation for scale that drives operational and financial synergies but also to maintain a more stable commodity market. A recent acquisition of acreage adjacent to the existing portfolio provides operational and financial synergies, and immediately improves operating costs/unit, FCF per share, and relative leverage. Multiple opportunities exist to unlock incremental value including integrating undeveloped core acreage, higher WI, leveraging the existing FT, and optimizing acquired midstream assets. The company also continues to work to divest noncore acreage positions which should help improve the leverage profile.

#### Freehold Royalties (FRU) Luke Davis, Analyst (403) 299-5042 luke.davis@rbccm.com

- Defensive royalty model well positioned in times of uncertainty. In our minds,
  Freehold's royalty model is well positioned in times of uncertainty given a clean
  cost structure with no exposure to operating costs, capital expenditures, or asset
  retirement obligations. While we do expect Canadian volumes to trend in-line with
  the broader basin, the company is well diversified from both a geographic and
  counterparty perspective with the company's recent US acquisition providing
  additional diversification as well as a strong reinvestment platform for potential
  growth.
- Dividends supported by favourable cost structure. Freehold's low cost model supports continued dividend payment. The company raised its dividend alongside Q3/20 earnings to \$0.24 annually, placing the new yield in the range of 5%. We forecast the dividend to increase by 50% to \$0.36/share annually in Q2/21 and 33% to \$0.48/share in Q1/22 mapping to payout ratios of 40%/49% in 2021/22 respectively, still below the company's target range of 60-80%.
- Strong balance sheet, capable of generating FCF at low prices. Based on our current estimates, we forecast the company's D/CF to map to 0.2x in 2021 and shifting to a net cash balance in 2022 (we do not currently model potential acquisitions). In addition, we see the company generating roughly \$66/\$67 million in post-dividend free cash flow in 2021/22 at our US\$52/US\$57 pricing outlook.
- **Discounted valuation.** Freehold shares trade at a significant discount to the diversified royalty peer group.



#### Parex Resources (PXT)

Al Stanton, Analyst (+44) 131-222-3638 al.stanton@rbccm.com

- Stable: SMID investors buoyed by the oil price recovery and those looking to limit downside risk while retaining some exposure to the oil sector should look at Parex, which has a debt-free (cash-in-hand of \$350m at the end of Q3/20), low-cost, flexible, onshore portfolio in Colombia.
- Considered: Having been one of the first companies in the International E&P universe to guide to a more conservative approach cutting spending and reining in lower margin production management took its foot off the brake in June as oil prices recovered. Looking ahead to 2021, management is not putting its foot on the accelerator to generate absolute, rather attention has focused on the combination of incremental growth and a sustained buyback (of its undervalued paper) to generate profitable per share growth.
- **Steady:** With a strong balance sheet and steady organic growth, we expect newsflow and investor interest to continue to recover during Q1/21.

Pembina Pipeline Corp. (PPL) Robert Kwan, Analyst (604) 257-7611 robert.kwan@rbccm.com

- Attractive risk-reward profile with a big focus on risk mitigation. Although other WCSB-focused midstream peers may have greater upside potential in a market recovery, we believe that the market is also very focused on risk mitigation/downside protection. On that front, we think that Pembina gets a lot of credit for being well-ahead of its peers with respect to taking swift and decisive action to protect the balance sheet and dividend. Specifically, Pembina decided to materially cut its growth capex (i.e., the "nice to do" projects) and make it clear to the market that it is willing to make the hard decisions to protect the dividend. With the macro environment slowly improving, Pembina remains focused on the strategic projects with a conservative funding scenario.
- Fully-funded capex plan in 2021 based on cash flow (i.e., no new incremental debt). Pembina noted that it is fully-funded from cash flow after dividend payments for its 2021 capex program based on the low-end of its EBITDA guidance range. As these projects come into service, the financing plan should result in improved credit metrics.

Rattler Midstream LP (RTLR) TJ Schultz, Analyst (512)-708-6385 tj.schultz@rbccm.com

- Operations Stabilized. We think RTLR successfully navigated lower producer
  activity in 2020 through slowing capital spending, and we expect relative stable
  cash flow through 2021. We think RTLR shares will outperform midstream peers in
  a period of improving crude prices given exposure to FANG's Permian activity
  outlook.
- Buyback Support. RTLR recently cut its dividend by ~30% and plans to allocate
  more capital to buybacks. The \$100mm buyback program represents about a third
  of the public float of RTLR and we think should provide support during any periods
  of crude-driven stock price weakness.
- Balance Sheet in Good Shape. RTLR comes out of trough activity quarters with debt leverage (including estimated JV debt) of ~3x. We expect debt leverage to stay in this range through our forecast period.



### Royal Dutch Shell PLC (RDSB) Biraj Borkhataria, Analyst (+44) 20-7029-7556 biraj.borkhataria@rbccm.com

- Shell is <u>unique</u> in having three separate franchise businesses all of which are #1 in their respective silos.
- Deepwater Core cash generator and funding the ambitions of tomorrow. Shell's deepwater portfolio is the largest across the Super- Majors, and accounts for 30% of upstream volumes. The valuation is highly levered to oil prices, but we estimate the portfolio has a \$30-35/bbl breakeven. Assuming a \$50/bbl case, we think the deepwater portfolio could be valued at ~\$25bn.
- Integrated gas Transition theme and free cash flow. Shell accounts for one in every five LNG cargoes traded globally. This has led to a significant trading advantage, in our view, and although it feels almost impossible to forecast earnings, it has proved to be a resilient business. Assuming a conservative 8x P/E multiple, we see a ~\$50bn valuation.
- Marketing "Brand value" and adding stability to the downstream. Shell has the largest marketing business among all of the majors, with a global footprint and 45,000 stations. The company also has the highest "brand value" according to third-party analysis, almost 2x more than BP. Marketing is Shell's highest return business, with a >20% ROACE, while it also adds much needed stability to downstream earnings. We think a 15x P/E multiple is appropriate, which would suggest a \$71bn valuation. This would be at the low end of retail peers, and lower than directly comparable peers such as Couche-Tard.
- After a few frustrating quarters, Shell has provided investors with greater <u>clarity</u>
   on its financial framework and priorities, which suggests a ~10% return to
   shareholders in a \$45-50/bbl environment, and more if commodity prices recover.

# Santos Limited (STO) Gordon Ramsay, Analyst +61 3 8688 6578 gordon.ramsay@rbccm.com

- Broad based production growth pipeline. Santos is our preferred Australian large cap E&P pick due to its diverse and largely brownfield production growth profile. Targeted production of 120 mmboe by 2025 (CAGR 8% pa) is driven by three major growth projects the Dorado oil field development, Barossa gas field development for Darwin LNG backfill / expansion, and PNG LNG T3 expansion. While we are confident all these projects will go ahead, recent events imply increased potential for slippage. The Dorado FEED decision was delayed slightly from 2Q to 3Q 2020, but is still targeting FID in 2021 and first production by 2025. The ConocoPhillips Northern Australia and Timor-Leste assets acquisition has now been completed, but the Barossa project FID has been deferred until business conditions improve. Unfortunately, PNG LNG T-3 expansion FEED has been delayed since formal discussions between ExxonMobil and the PNG Government on the P'nyang Gas Agreement were suspended in January 2020.
- Strong free cash flow and flexibility on expenditure. We view Santos as being somewhat defensive with approximately 70% of its 2020 forecast production volumes either: fixed price domestic gas sales contracts, or oil hedged at an average floor price of US\$39/bbl. Cooper Basin cost out has been remarkable over the last five years and gas production from the area over 1Q 2020 was its highest in 9 years. Santos is targeting free cash flow breakeven oil price ~US\$25/bbl in 2020. Since major projects are all pre-FID, Santos has the ability to optimize spending in response to current market conditions with forecast 2020 capital expenditure of A\$550m down 38% from prior guidance.
- Catalysts. Key near-term catalysts include advancing the go-ahead decision process for Santos' three major growth projects, and progressing the approvals required for the Narrabri coal seam gas project in NSW.



### Shawcor Ltd. (SCL) Keith Mackey, Analyst (403) 299-6958 keith.mackey@rbccm.com

- The pieces are coming together. We believe Shawcor's recent cost reduction efforts, growing exposure beyond oil & gas, and improved financial flexibility set the stage for improved financial results over the coming quarters. We take the company's recent decision to provide strong numbered 4Q20 EBITDA guidance as a signal of confidence in its near-term outlook.
- Pipe Services profitability positioned to improve. Shawcor has been in 'show me' mode with the market with respect to lowering its fixed cost footprint. We believe its recent decision to close four plants in North America represent a tangible step to improving its pipe coating profitability under a wider set of economic circumstances, with additional actions in the works. While large contract awards are slow, Shawcor remains a key player in the international pipe coating market and we see the company as well-positioned to win its share of global project awards as the market improves. In the mean-time, its \$540 million project backlog should support revenues.
- Recent covenant relief improves financial flexibility. We see the company's liquidity as sufficient through our forecast period. The recent accretive sale of a non-core business division also bolsters its financial flexibility. We forecast 2021 net debt/EBITDA 2.4x vs its covenant of 5.0x. At 3Q20, Shawcor had approximately \$385 million of cash and credit facility liquidity.

#### SM Energy (SM) Scott Hanold, Analyst (512) 708-6354 scott.hanold@rbccm.com

- Top tier returns in the core Permian development. We think investor sentiment has made a positive pivot on the RockStar asset. Well productivity and costs across SM's Permian assets continue to exceed investor expectations. "RockStar" performance is among the highest in the basin and is 15+% above our 1.0 MMboe typecurve. Permian well costs are among industry lows and when coupled with solid well productivity, economic returns continue to be strong. We think there is a visible 5-10 years of inventory economic at \$35-45/bbl.
- Visibility toward an improving balance sheet. Leverage is too high right now, in our view, but the development strategy should drive that down to a sub-2.0x ratio by early 2022. In 2021, FCF generation should occur at oil prices above \$45/bbl and accelerate in 2022. SM benefits from working off a 30 well DUC backlog but also its higher margin assets. We estimate \$550 million of FCF through 2022 that can manage repayment of its upcoming notes and bank debt, totaling \$475 million.
- South TX Austin Chalk provides a catalyst. The Austin Chalk could be a game changer, albeit still early stages, and has a good amount of technical data and several successful wells to support economic development starting in 2021. Regional margins improve with the recent new condensate pricing marketing contract and transportation cost reductions in 2021 and 2023. We think the Austin Chalk could play out similar to SM's move into the Howard County Permian where investors were highly skeptical but management was able to more than prove out the value.



# **Tourmaline Oil (TOU)**Michael Harvey, Analyst

(403) 299-6998 michael.harvey@rbccm.com

- Key beneficiary of an improved natural gas outlook. Natural gas remains an abundant commodity amid WCSB with low supply costs, but the SD picture has brightened somewhat for the next 1 2 years, and we believe TOU as the largest natural gas producer in Canada stands to be the key beneficiary. See our deep dive report <a href="here">here</a> and January Nat Gas Stats <a href="here">here</a>.
- High quality asset base, with Montney driving the growth. The company's primary growth engine continues to be the liquids-rich Gundy. Tourmaline has a top-decile cost structure and industry-leading capital efficiencies. We now model Tourmaline's 2021 capital efficiencies at approximately \$7,500/boe/d. Ownership of facilities remains a key ingredient to the story, and could represent an additional avenue to surface value in the future.
- Upside via Topaz Energy. With the IPO of Topaz now complete, Tourmaline
  maintains an equity position of 58 million shares, or roughly 52% of the company.
  Tourmaline's participation in Topaz deals (generally for GORRs) improves the
  valuation of acquisitions, and allows TOU to participate in Topaz' equity upside.
- Aligned and seasoned management team. Tourmaline's leadership team is led by
  Mike Rose as President & CEO, who has been with the company since inception.
  Mr. Rose has 40+ years of experience in the oil and gas industry. Tourmaline
  management are amongst the most aligned within our coverage universe in terms
  of equity ownership (Directors & Officers ownership: ~8%).

#### Valero Energy Corporation (VLO)

TJ Schultz, Analyst (512)-708-6385 tj.schultz@rbccm.com

- Positioned to take advantage of global crude oversupply and a low position on the cost curve. We like Valero Energy for its position at the bottom of the global refining cost curve and its significant leverage to the US Gulf Coast refining market. Additionally, we believe Valero has great optionality in its refinery portfolio given its high complexity allowing it to easily pick and choose the crude that it runs to arbitrage the global crude market.
- No exposure to midstream or retail. VLO has no exposure to midstream, which is likely to see a negative impact from slower US growth. Further, no retail likely insulates the company from a protracted COVID-19 demand impact.
- Less risk of dividend cut. While we believe all refiners pay some part of 2020 dividends off the balance sheet, we see the call on capital for Valero being quite low and manageable.



# **Portfolio tracking**

The RBC Capital Markets Global Energy Best Ideas List highlights our Research Analysts' highest conviction names across the global energy sector with a market capitalization of at least \$1 billion at the time of their addition into the list. Our objective is to highlight individual stocks that are expected to outperform the iShares Global Energy ETF (IXC).

A long-only portfolio, the RBC Capital Markets Global Energy Best Ideas List is set up as follows:

- There is no limit to the number of names included in the RBC Capital Markets Global Energy Best Ideas List.
- Individual holdings are deemed to be weighted equally, with weights reset every month or any time that there is a change to the list.
- Names added to the list will remain on the list for at least one full month, i.e., there will be no mid-month additions/deletions. If we discontinue research coverage of a company included on the RBC Global Energy Best Ideas List, the stock will be removed from the list as of the next monthly publication.
- The RBC Global Energy Best Ideas has a mandatory stop loss mechanism as follows: a stock will be removed from the list if it is down 20% in the current year or down 20% since being added to the list.
- We will use the most recent closing price prior to the list being published as the price used for performance calculations.

  Therefore, any additions to or deletions from the list are recorded as have being made at their most recent closing price.
- Dividends will be added to returns from stock price movements on the day that stocks go ex. dividend.
- We will provide a monthly update on the constituent names of the list as well as past performance on or around the start of each month.
- We will include only stocks on which we have research coverage.
- We do not make provisions for taxes and/or trading commissions when adding or removing stocks from the portfolio.

Note: Total return data for the list as well as relevant indices are from Bloomberg and Factset.



# **Contributing Authors**

# **RBC Capital Markets, LLC**

TJ Schultz (Analyst)	(512) 708-6385	tj.schultz@rbccm.com
Elvira Scotto (Analyst)	(212) 905-5957	elvira.scotto@rbccm.com
Shelby Tucker (Analyst)	(212) 428-6462	shelby.tucker@rbccm.com
Scott Hanold (Analyst)	(512) 708-6354	scott.hanold@rbccm.com
Matthew Shaffer (Associate)	(646) 618-6761	matthew.shaffer@rbccm.com

## **RBC Europe Limited**

Biraj Borkhataria (Analyst)	+44 7713 388 825	biraj.borkhataria@rbccm.com
Al Stanton (Analyst)	+44 131 222 3638	al.stanton@rbccm.com

#### **RBC Dominion Securities Inc.**

Robert Kwan (Analyst)	(604) 257-7611	robert.kwan@rbccm.com
Greg Pardy (Head of Global Energy Research)	(416) 842-7848	greg.pardy@rbccm.com
Michael Harvey (Analyst)	(403) 299-6998	michael.harvey@rbccm.com
Luke Davis (Analyst)	(403) 299-5042	luke.davis@rbccm.com
Keith Mackey (Analyst)	(403) 299-6958	keith.mackey@rbccm.com

## **Royal Bank of Canada - Sydney Branch**

Gordon Ramsay (Analyst)	+61 3 8688 6578	gordon.ramsay@rbccm.com
-------------------------	-----------------	-------------------------





# **Companies mentioned**

Baker Hughes Company (NYSE: BKR US; \$20.09; Not Rated)

# **Required disclosures**

# Non-U.S. analyst disclosure

Biraj Borkhataria, Robert Kwan, Greg Pardy, Al Stanton, Michael Harvey, Gordon Ramsay, Luke Davis and Keith Mackey (i) are not registered/qualified as research analysts with the NYSE and/or FINRA and (ii) may not be associated persons of the RBC Capital Markets, LLC and therefore may not be subject to FINRA Rule 2241 restrictions on communications with a subject company, public appearances and trading securities held by a research analyst account.

#### **Conflicts disclosures**

This product constitutes a compendium report (covers six or more subject companies). As such, RBC Capital Markets chooses to provide specific disclosures for the subject companies by reference. To access conflict of interest and other disclosures for the subject companies, clients should refer to <a href="https://www.rbccm.com/GLDisclosure/PublicWeb/DisclosureLookup.aspx?entityId=1">https://www.rbccm.com/GLDisclosure/PublicWeb/DisclosureLookup.aspx?entityId=1</a>. These disclosures are also available by sending a written request to RBC Capital Markets Research Publishing, P.O. Box 50, 200 Bay Street, Royal Bank Plaza, 29th Floor, South Tower, Toronto, Ontario M5J 2W7 or an email to rbcinsight@rbccm.com.

The analyst(s) responsible for preparing this research report received compensation that is based upon various factors, including total revenues of the member companies of RBC Capital Markets and its affiliates, a portion of which are or have been generated by investment banking activities of the member companies of RBC Capital Markets and its affiliates.

# **Distribution of ratings**

For the purpose of ratings distributions, regulatory rules require member firms to assign ratings to one of three rating categories - Buy, Hold/Neutral, or Sell - regardless of a firm's own rating categories. Although RBC Capital Markets' ratings of Outperform (O), Sector Perform (SP), and Underperform (U) most closely correspond to Buy, Hold/Neutral and Sell, respectively, the meanings are not the same because our ratings are determined on a relative basis.

	Distribution	n of ratings		
	RBC Capital Market	ts, Equity Research		
	As of 31-I	Dec-2020		
			Investment Bank	ing
			Serv./Past 12 Mo	os.
Rating	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
BUY [Outperform]	828	54.83	299	36.11
HOLD [Sector Perform]	615	40.73	166	26.99
SELL [Underperform]	67	4.44	12	17.91

# **Conflicts policy**

RBC Capital Markets Policy for Managing Conflicts of Interest in Relation to Investment Research is available from us on request. To access our current policy, clients should refer to

https://www.rbccm.com/global/file-414164.pdf

or send a request to RBC Capital Markets Research Publishing, P.O. Box 50, 200 Bay Street, Royal Bank Plaza, 29th Floor, South Tower, Toronto, Ontario M5J 2W7. We reserve the right to amend or supplement this policy at any time.

#### Dissemination of research and short-term trade ideas

RBC Capital Markets endeavors to make all reasonable efforts to provide research simultaneously to all eligible clients, having regard to local time zones in overseas jurisdictions. RBC Capital Markets' equity research is posted to our proprietary website to ensure eligible clients receive coverage initiations and changes in ratings, targets and opinions in a timely manner. Additional distribution may be done by the sales personnel via email, fax, or other electronic means, or regular mail. Clients may also



receive our research via third party vendors. RBC Capital Markets also provides eligible clients with access to SPARC on the Firms proprietary INSIGHT website, via email and via third-party vendors. SPARC contains market color and commentary regarding subject companies on which the Firm currently provides equity research coverage. Research Analysts may, from time to time, include short-term trade ideas in research reports and / or in SPARC. A short-term trade idea offers a short-term view on how a security may trade, based on market and trading events, and the resulting trading opportunity that may be available. A short-term trade idea may differ from the price targets and recommendations in our published research reports reflecting the research analyst's views of the longer-term (one year) prospects of the subject company, as a result of the differing time horizons, methodologies and/or other factors. Thus, it is possible that a subject company's common equity that is considered a long-term 'Sector Perform' or even an 'Underperform' might present a short-term buying opportunity as a result of temporary selling pressure in the market; conversely, a subject company's common equity rated a long-term 'Outperform' could be considered susceptible to a short-term downward price correction. Short-term trade ideas are not ratings, nor are they part of any ratings system, and the firm generally does not intend, nor undertakes any obligation, to maintain or update short-term trade ideas. Short-term trade ideas may not be suitable for all investors and have not been tailored to individual investor circumstances and objectives, and investors should make their own independent decisions regarding any securities or strategies discussed herein. Please contact your investment advisor or institutional salesperson for more information regarding RBC Capital Markets' research.

For a list of all recommendations on the company that were disseminated during the prior 12-month period, please click on the following link: https://rbcnew.bluematrix.com/sellside/MAR.action

The 12 month history of SPARCs can be viewed at <a href="https://www.rbcinsightresearch.com">https://www.rbcinsightresearch.com</a>.

# **Analyst certification**

All of the views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal views of the responsible analyst(s) about any and all of the subject securities or issuers. No part of the compensation of the responsible analyst(s) named herein is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed by the responsible analyst(s) in this report.

# **Third-party-disclaimers**

The Global Industry Classification Standard ("GICS") was developed by and is the exclusive property and a service mark of MSCI Inc. ("MSCI") and Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC ("S&P") and is licensed for use by RBC. Neither MSCI, S&P, nor any other party involved in making or compiling the GICS or any GICS classifications makes any express or implied warranties or representations with respect to such standard or classification (or the results to be obtained by the use thereof), and all such parties hereby expressly disclaim all warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose with respect to any of such standard or classification. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall MSCI, S&P, any of their affiliates or any third party involved in making or compiling the GICS or any GICS classifications have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of the possibility of such damages.

RBC Capital Markets disclaims all warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose with respect to any statements made to the media or via social media that are in turn quoted in this report, or otherwise reproduced graphically for informational purposes.

References herein to "LIBOR", "LIBO Rate", "L" or other LIBOR abbreviations means the London interbank offered rate as administered by ICE Benchmark Administration (or any other person that takes over the administration of such rate).

#### **Disclaimer**

RBC Capital Markets is the business name used by certain branches and subsidiaries of the Royal Bank of Canada, including RBC Dominion Securities Inc., RBC Capital Markets, LLC, RBC Europe Limited, RBC Capital Markets (Europe) GmbH, Royal Bank of Canada, Hong Kong Branch and Royal Bank of Canada, Sydney Branch. The information contained in this report has been compiled by RBC Capital Markets from sources believed to be reliable, but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made by Royal Bank of Canada, RBC Capital Markets, its affiliates or any other person as to its accuracy, completeness or correctness. All opinions and estimates contained in this report constitute RBC Capital Markets' judgement as of the date of this report, are subject to change without notice and are provided in good faith but without legal responsibility. Nothing in this report constitutes legal, accounting or tax advice or individually tailored investment advice. This material is prepared for general circulation to clients and has been prepared without regard to the individual financial circumstances and objectives of persons who receive it. The investments or services contained in this report may not be suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult an independent investment advisor if you are in doubt about the suitability of such investments or services. This report is not an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities. Past performance is not a guide to future performance, future returns are not guaranteed, and a loss of original capital may occur. RBC Capital Markets research analyst compensation is based in part on the overall profitability of RBC Capital Markets, which includes profits attributable to investment banking revenues. Every province in Canada, state in the U.S., and most countries throughout the world have their own laws regulating the types of securities and other investment products which may be offered to their residents, as well as the process for doing so. As a result, the securities discussed in this report may not be eligible for sale in some jurisdictions. RBC Capital Markets may be restricted from publishing research reports, from time to time, due to regulatory restrictions and/or internal compliance policies. If this is the case, the latest published research reports available to clients may not reflect recent material changes in the applicable industry and/or applicable subject companies. RBC Capital Markets research reports are current only as of the date set forth on the research reports. This report is not, and under no circumstances should be construed as, a solicitation to act as securities broker or dealer in any jurisdiction by any person or company that is not legally permitted to carry on the business of a securities broker or dealer in that jurisdiction. To the full extent permitted by law neither RBC Capital Markets nor any of its affiliates, nor any other person, accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from, or in connection with, any use of this report or the information contained herein. No matter contained in this document may be reproduced or copied by any means without the prior written consent of RBC Capital Markets in each instance.



Additional information is available on request.

#### To U.S. Residents:

This publication has been approved by RBC Capital Markets, LLC (member FINRA, NYSE, SIPC), which is a U.S. registered broker-dealer and which accepts responsibility for this report and its dissemination in the United States. Any U.S. recipient of this report that is not a registered broker-dealer or a bank acting in a broker or dealer capacity and that wishes further information regarding, or to effect any transaction in, any of the securities discussed in this report, should contact and place orders with RBC Capital Markets, LLC.

#### To Canadian Residents:

This publication has been approved by RBC Dominion Securities Inc. (member IIROC). Any Canadian recipient of this report that is not a Designated Institution in Ontario, an Accredited Investor in British Columbia or Alberta or a Sophisticated Purchaser in Quebec (or similar permitted purchaser in any other province) and that wishes further information regarding, or to effect any transaction in, any of the securities discussed in this report should contact and place orders with RBC Dominion Securities Inc., which, without in any way limiting the foregoing, accepts responsibility for this report and its dissemination in Canada.

#### To U.K. Residents:

This publication has been approved by RBC Europe Limited ('RBCEL') which is authorized by the Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority ('FCA') and the Prudential Regulation Authority, in connection with its distribution in the United Kingdom. This material is not for general distribution in the United Kingdom to retail clients, as defined under the rules of the FCA. RBCEL accepts responsibility for this report and its dissemination in the United Kingdom.

#### To EEA Residents:

This material is distributed in the EU by either RBCEL on an authorised cross-border basis, or by RBC Capital Markets (Europe) GmbH (RBC EG) which is authorised and regulated in Germany by the Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority) (BaFin).

#### To Persons Receiving This Advice in Australia:

This material has been distributed in Australia by Royal Bank of Canada, Sydney Branch (ABN 86 076 940 880, AFSL No. 246521). This material has been prepared for general circulation and does not take into account the objectives, financial situation or needs of any recipient. Accordingly, any recipient should, before acting on this material, consider the appropriateness of this material having regard to their objectives, financial situation and needs. If this material relates to the acquisition or possible acquisition of a particular financial product, a recipient in Australia should obtain any relevant disclosure document prepared in respect of that product and consider that document before making any decision about whether to acquire the product. This research report is not for retail investors as defined in section 761G of the Corporations Act.

#### To Hong Kong Residents:

This publication is distributed in Hong Kong by Royal Bank of Canada, Hong Kong Branch, which is regulated by the Hong Kong Monetary Authority and the Securities and Futures Commission (SFC) in Hong Kong, RBC Investment Services (Asia) Limited and RBC Global Asset Management (Asia) Limited, both entities are regulated by the SFC. This material is not for general distribution in Hong Kong to persons who are not professional investors (as defined in the Securities and Futures Ordinance of Hong Kong (Cap. 571) and any rules made thereunder.

#### To Singapore Residents:

This publication is distributed in Singapore by the Royal Bank of Canada, Singapore Branch, a registered entity licensed by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. This material has been prepared for general circulation and does not take into account the objectives, financial situation, or needs of any recipient. You are advised to seek independent advice from a financial adviser before purchasing any product. If you do not obtain independent advice, you should consider whether the product is suitable for you. Past performance is not indicative of future performance. If you have any questions related to this publication, please contact the Royal Bank of Canada, Singapore Branch. Royal Bank of Canada, Singapore Branch accepts responsibility for this report and its dissemination in Singapore.

#### To Japanese Residents:

Unless otherwise exempted by Japanese law, this publication is distributed in Japan by or through RBC Capital Markets (Japan) Ltd. which is a Financial Instruments Firm registered with the Kanto Local Financial Bureau (Registered number 203) and a member of the Japan Securities Dealers Association (JSDA) and the Financial Futures Association of Japan (FFAJ).

Registered trademark of Royal Bank of Canada. RBC Capital Markets is a trademark of Royal Bank of Canada. Used under license. Copyright © RBC Capital Markets, LLC 2021 - Member SIPC Copyright © RBC Dominion Securities Inc. 2021 - Member Canadian Investor Protection Fund Copyright © RBC Europe Limited 2021 Copyright © Royal Bank of Canada 2021 All rights reserved